

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

MATTHEW S. JENKINS,	)	CASE NO. 1:16-cv-1322
	)	
PLAINTIFF,	)	JUDGE SARA LIOI
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	<b>ORDER</b>
NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting	)	
Commissioner of Social Security,	)	
	)	
DEFENDANT.	)	

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge in the above-entitled action. (Doc. No. 18.) The R&R was filed on May 31, 2017. On June 13, 2017, plaintiff filed his notice that he “will not be filing objections to this Honorable Court’s Report and Recommendation.” (Doc. No. 19.)<sup>1</sup>

The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge’s report and recommendation and adopts the same.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: June 14, 2017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**HONORABLE SARA LIOI**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

---

<sup>1</sup> Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1):

Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made. [ . . . ]

The failure to file written objections to a Magistrate Judge’s report and recommendation constitutes a waiver of a *de novo* determination by the district court of an issue covered in the report. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff’d*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985), *reh’g denied*, 474 U.S. 1111 (1986); *see United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).